

# Course of Action

by [William Michael Kemp](#)

---

I have pointed out the flies in the ointment, as it were. I have been accused of "**throwing rocks**", of tearing down without offering a plan to build up. I have been asked what I think is the necessary course of action. I think that it has finally reached a point where many of the folks on this list recognize that "**working within the system**" and electing "**sympathetic Republicrats**" just ain't gonna git it. So, perhaps, this fallow ground has been sufficiently prepared for the seeds of the return to liberty.

First, the one thing which must be absolutely recognized is that the Republicrat party is absolutely, ideologically bankrupt. They are fascists. They are "me-too'ers." They are enforcers for the democratic socialists which have dominated our government since at least Franklin Roosevelt and find their roots as far back as Woodrow Wilson, and their seeds even further back. The Republicrats have gained what success that they enjoy by empty rhetoric which a gullible and disenchanted electorate have swallowed, and are now puking back up.

There are perhaps two Republicrats, possibly three, who hold forth some slim promise of rectitude. Ron Paul, Smith, and Hutchinson. There were perhaps a few more in the freshman class of Republicrats a few years ago, but they have been largely subverted by the power and perks of office. The three that I mention, I suspect, are toothless libertarian (small "l") wolves going in sheep's clothing under cover of the Republicrats. You will notice that they are absolutely ineffective. Any local examples of the same breed are likewise ineffective, because they are joining themselves with a group which is absolutely inimical to liberty and [are as equally addicted to power as any democratic socialist](#). They are voices in the wilderness. Bob Barr, the champion of the right, is simply ludicrous. People must absolutely abandon the notions of "right" and "left" as being nothing but the two boots of unauthorized government power.

So, what is required? [I have personally demonstrated the criminal nature of the courts](#). If anyone cares to pursue this course, [it should be simply for the purpose of discrediting that path](#), by the criminal activity which dominates it and the decisions that they render. The executive branch is hopeless, from municipal government (which is nothing more than a corporation, no different than General Motors or

Exxon), and are mere subsidiaries of the criminal enterprises masquerading as governments from the county level to the District of Criminals.

I have personally had success only through the medium of talk radio. Rush is hopeless. He is infatuated with, enriched and controlled by the Republicrats, and access is so closely controlled so as to make this platform untenable. Liddy is ripe for a butchering, for people who are sharp enough and experienced enough and principled enough to carry it off. Local shows are ripe for the plucking. Most of the principals are simply not sharp enough to fend off an assault. The great weakness, the great lack, is principle. Our only arguing point is principle. And this is what is sorely lacking -- principle. People have bought into the sham of licensing, of begging permission from Uncle Sham and his grubby little in-laws to exercise our rights. We have accepted the premise put forward by the democratic socialists of "reasonable restrictions," that the Bill of Goods espouses a "sharing of power." We have abandoned the high strong point of principle and are struggling in the valley of expediency. It is a fight destined to failure.

If you read the scriptures, you find that the Christ espoused selling one's clothing to arm oneself. He doesn't mention waiting for Caesar's approval. The first page (most versions) of Genesis state that every herb bearing seed is placed on this earth for our use. It doesn't mention asking permission of a government-licensed lackey (with further restrictions on what this "licensed medicopath" can prescribe) to obtain and use medicine. Cannabis is an herb bearing seed. Opium poppies are an herb bearing seed. Coca is an herb bearing seed. An antiConstitutional Amendment was required to outlaw alcohol, and personal possession was not even addressed. The only outcome of that abortion was the war on firearms, and the subsequent antiConstitutional Second War on Drugs.

The Fourth Article of the Bill of Goods states flatly that we shall be secure in our persons, houses, papers, and effects, and that warrants are required before the fact based on sworn complaint by a sovereign who is authorized to complain -- that is, a private citizen. Private possessions are beyond the ken of government. "Laws" which declare by fiat that "possession" of certain articles is criminal are laughable and criminal on their face. The notion of a "crime against the state," against the peace and dignity of the corporation known as "The State of Blank" is absurd. The only folks subject to any such thing are the public servants themselves, who swear an oath to the state -- which is we, the people. **ON THIS BASIS ALONE** crimes typified by "failing to do what one is told" or "doing what one is told not to do" can be pursued. The notion of direct taxes on citizens, their property, their money is criminal. The notion inherent in Social Security is absurd. The income tax transcends the boundaries of nearly every article of the Bill of Goods. The courts are wholly owned subsidiaries of the bar associations, who have entered an unholy alliance with the government,

which is the principal source of the evil that the courts are to protect us from. The corporate media is simply a cog in the fascist machine, its propaganda arm.

How have we come to this sorry pass? It is simple. We have abandoned the principles. Enforcement of the Bill of Rights, the only means available to prevent their converting to a Bill of Goods, is a jealous preservation by we the people -- not only of our own rights, but of the rights of our neighbors.

So back to the cure. What is required is an all-out assault via the "talk outlets" by those who understand, implement, and stick to principle. Those who do it must be extremely fluent, unflappable, fully grounded in principle, and unwavering in its application. The first task is to utterly discredit the two parties and their practitioners who have stolen government for their own benefit, converting it into a two-party oligarchy. This must be done by pointing out their reflexive abandonment of the PRINCIPLES. The solution is simple -- nothing "new" need be done-- it is only a return of government to its proper role, as defined by the founding documents. The cure isn't some "third party" of Perotistas or the namby-pamby mouthings of Libertarians. What is required isn't the slow, tedious, bloodletting of fighting back to the high ground. What is required is a flanking maneuver, or, more appropriately, the hammer and anvil. I describe herein, above, the "anvil." **The unmoving rock of PRINCIPLE.** The talk outlets are the means of doing this.

Jefferson stated that the tree of Liberty must be nourished by the blood of patriots and tyrants. John Henry Littlefish, the ballistician, one of the many small fishes with terrible liberty teeth, must provide the hammer. As it was in the 1770's, so it is today. Power never abandons its position unless it is forcibly removed. This is demonstrated throughout the memory of mankind.

This is, I fear, beyond the intellectual and spiritual capacity of America and Americans in this modern age. I'm sure that I will be, publicly and privately, written off as a simple crazy. So be it. I therefore suggest that you prepare yourself and your offspring for life begging favors and crumbs from the table of your masters -- for life with a boot on your necks. If you infuse the notions of liberty in your children, without the willingness to live free, to do what is necessary, you are dooming them to a lifetime of misery.

[William Michael Kemp](#)

12-25-98

repost as desired



X-Sender: minutemn@mail.internetpro.net  
X-Mailer: Windows Eudora Pro Version 2.2 (16)  
To: gsl@listbox.com  
From: Mike Kemp (minutemn@internetpro.net)  
Subject: Kennedy to sponsor bills to regulate purchases at gun shows,tighten checks  
Date: Tue, 5 Jan 99 09:40:10 CST6CDT

My comments are beneath. Feel free to forward my comments as you please.  
Mike Kemp

>Ya know, from the tea pot dome scandal to the alleged collaboration  
>with known nazi's during WWII to the philandering Ted, haven't we  
>had enough of these Kennedy's? Geeeesshh, martyr one of them and  
>the whole fam damily becomes a pain in the butt!  
>> WE NEED TO GET BUSY ON THIS ONE. CONTACT YOUR REPRESENTATIVE AND  
>>TELL THEM "NO NEW GUN CONTROL BILLS".  
>> MY COMMENTS ARE IN CAPS. PLEASE SHARE YOUR OPINIONS WITH US.  
>> TIM  
>> Subject: GSL> Kennedy to sponsor bills to regulate purchases  
>> at gun shows,tighten checks  
>> CUMBERLAND, R.I. (AP) - Background checks would be required of  
>> those who buy firearms at gun shows under legislation to be  
>> sponsored by U.S. Rep. Patrick Kennedy.  
>> WE KNEW THIS WAS COMING. KLINTON PROMISED IT!  
>> MAKES IT SOUND TO THE UNINFORMED LIKE EVEN DEALERS ARE NOT  
>> REQUIRED TO DO CHECKS. OH! IF YOUR COLLEAGUES SAY IT IS A  
>> PROBLEM, O.K.!!! WE HAVE NEVER BEEN ABLE TO SEE FIREARMS KORESH  
>> ALLEGEDLY HAD. AFTER MANY REQUESTS, WHY HASN'T THE GOVERNMENT  
>> PRODUCED THEM? IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY, McVEIGH USED A BOMB,  
>> NOT A GUN. WHAT HAS THIS REFERENCE TO DO WITH ANYTHING?  
>> ''WHERE ARE THE STATISTICS TO BACK UP THIS STATEMENT?  
>> THEY KEEP SAYING THERE IS THIS BIG PROBLEM, SO FAR THEIR ONLY  
>> SUPPORTIVE MATERIAL IS A COUPLE OF COLLEAGUES FROM DOWN SOUTH!  
>> BEFORE CREATING A LAW, THEIR SHOULD BE EVIDENCE OF A NEED!  
>> SO, WHAT IS THE PROBLEM?  
>> BACK ON THEIR WORD. THEY AGREED TO THE WORDING OF THE BRADY  
>> BILL WHICH ELIMINATED THE WAITING PERIOD WHEN THE NICS  
>> CAME ONLINE. THE DOMESTIC VIOLENCE LAW IS A EX-POST FACTO LAW  
>> WHICH IS ILLEGAL. IT MAKES CRIMINALS OUT OF PEOPLE WHO  
>> COMMITTED A MISDEAMEANOR IN THE PAST. BEFORE THIS LAW WAS PAST,  
>> ONLY A FELONY CONVICTION WOULD COST YOU YOUR SECOND AMENDMENT  
>> RIGHTS. NOW THEY CAN BE LOST OVER A SIMPLE RESTRAINING ORDER. YOU  
>> DON'T EVEN NEED TO BE CONVICTED.  
>> NEITHER OF WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN AVIODED BY ANY GUN CONTROL  
>> LEGISLATION.

When are folks going to wake up? Or are they? How many times have I stated that this is a matter of principle? The comments above are all fine. No problem. However, it's spitting into the wind. The arguments are simply irrelevant. So long as the discussion is allowed to center on these irrelevancies, so long as you allow yourself to be manipulated into participating on **THEIR choice of**

**topics**, the argument is simply lost. Are you not ever going to realize this?

There is a **PRINCIPLE**. Piss on your reasonable restrictions, throw away your muddle-headed discussions about "protecting society" and "keeping criminals from getting guns." We either have a **RIGHT** to keep and bear arms, a **RIGHT** to self defense and the means to topple the tyrants who rule us, or **WE DON'T**.

Keep guns from criminals? Don't be ridiculous. Have you noticed the tales coming from Australia? You ambush a bacon boy, then you are armed. We are talking about criminals, remember?

Working in the nuke, I could carry through the entry check points any tool I wanted, any drawing I wanted, and anything that didn't "look like" a firearm. I'm a chemist. Whoops. And they let uniformed idiots called 'security' run around, alone, in the plant, armed with extra ammo. If I wanted a firearm, all I would have had to do was speak kindly to one of the dufuses, then smack him up side the head with a wrench. Then I would be armed. I had all my tools, all my drawings, and if I were intent on mischief, I was fully prepared. The situation in the real world is much the same, and will always be much the same. Forget your lame and self-defeating arguments.

If you want this to stop, you had best shitcan your dithering and realize that our masters intend to fully enslave us, and will disarm us first. They are restricting firearms, but that is cover. The target will be ammo. That, and being fearful for it to be known that we are armed. What use is a firearm if it is never taken out of the closet, particularly when there is no fodder for it?

Return the argument to **PRINCIPLE**, folks. It won't change the short-term outcome -- that is predetermined. But it will prepare those who are capable of listening for the day that we will reward our masters with the hemp that they so richly deserve -- or lie down and grovel in the dirt for mercy.

William Michael Kemp